

Multi-particle long-range rapidity correlations from fluctuation of the fireball longitudinal shape

Adam Bzdak^{*} and Piotr Bożek[†]

*AGH University of Science and Technology,
Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science,
al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Krakow, Poland*

Abstract

We calculate the genuine long-range multi-particle rapidity correlation functions, $C_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ for $n = 3, 4, 5, 6$, originating from fluctuations of the fireball longitudinal shape. In these correlation functions any contribution from the short-range two-particle correlations, and in general up to $(n - 1)$ -particle in C_n , is suppressed. The information about the fluctuating fireball shape in rapidity is encoded in the cumulants of coefficients of the orthogonal polynomial expansion of particle distributions in rapidity.

^{*}Electronic address: bzdak@fis.agh.edu.pl

[†]Electronic address: piotr.bozek@fis.agh.edu.pl

I. INTRODUCTION

Fluctuations in the longitudinal structure of the fireball produced in heavy-ion collisions has drawn noticeable interest in recent years, see, e.g., [1–13]. These fluctuations result in new phenomena and modify known correlations in rapidity and azimuthal angle.

In Ref. [3] it was argued that fluctuations of the fireball longitudinal shape result in the specific long-range two-particle rapidity correlations that depend not only on the rapidity difference, $y_1 - y_2$, but also on the rapidity sum, $y_1 + y_2$. In analogy to the long-range azimuthal correlations originating from fluctuating shape of the fireball in the transverse direction [14, 15], it was proposed to expand the single particle rapidity distribution in terms of the orthogonal polynomials

$$\rho(y; a_0, a_1, \dots) = \rho(y) \left[1 + \sum_{i=0} a_i T_i(y) \right], \quad (1.1)$$

where $\rho(y) \equiv \langle \rho(y) \rangle$ is the measured single particle distribution. a_0 represents rapidity independent multiplicity fluctuation of the fireball as a whole, a_1 is an event-by-event asymmetric component¹, etc., see Ref. [3] for more details. Averaging Eq. (1.1) over a_0, a_1, \dots with the probability distribution $P(a_0, a_1, \dots)$ we obtain $\langle a_i \rangle = 0$.

The two-particle rapidity distribution at a given a_0, a_1, \dots is

$$\rho_2(y_1, y_2; a_0, a_1, \dots) = \rho(y_1; a_0, a_1, \dots) \rho(y_2; a_0, a_1, \dots) + \text{short range}, \quad (1.2)$$

where we added the short-range correlations in rapidity, that cannot be written, on an event-by-event basis, as a product of fluctuating single-particle distributions (1.1). If resonance decays or jets are the dominant mechanism behind the short-range contribution, we expect this correlation to be approximately a function of $y_1 - y_2$ with a range of about one unit in rapidity.

Taking an average over a_i and subtracting $\rho(y_1)\rho(y_2)$, we obtain the final two-particle rapidity correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(y_1, y_2) &= \rho_2(y_1, y_2) - \rho(y_1)\rho(y_2) \\ &= \rho(y_1)\rho(y_2) \left[\sum_{i,k=0} (\langle a_i a_k \rangle + b_{ik}) T_i(y_1) T_k(y_2) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where b_{ik} denotes some short-range (SR) correlations not related to the fluctuating shape of the fireball

$$b_{ik} = \int_{-1}^1 dy_1 dy_2 \frac{C_2^{\text{SR}}(y_1, y_2) T_i(y_1) T_k(y_2)}{\rho(y_1)\rho(y_2)}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $C_2^{\text{SR}}(y_1, y_2)$ is the short-range two-particle correlation function. It is essential to remove this unwanted background and this is the subject of the paper.

We propose to measure the cumulants of the genuine multi-particle rapidity correlation functions in analogy to the multi-particle flow cumulants [18, 19], which proved to be effective in removing non-flow effects from correlations in azimuthal angle [20].

¹ In the wounded nucleon model [16, 17] and for symmetric A+A collisions, a_1 corresponds to the difference between left- and right-going wounded nucleons, $a_1 \propto w_L - w_R$.

Throughout the paper we use $y = \frac{\eta}{Y}$, where η is rapidity or pseudorapidity in the range $[-Y, Y]$. The orthogonalization condition for the Legendre polynomials² [21] reads $\int dy T_i(y) T_k(y) = \delta_{ik}$, and $T_k(y) = \sqrt{k + \frac{1}{2}} P_k\left(\frac{\eta}{Y}\right)$ with $P_k(x) = \frac{1}{2^k k!} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} (x^2 - 1)^k$.

In the next Section we derive formulas for the genuine three-, four-, five- and six-particle correlation functions originating from fluctuating longitudinal shape of the fireball. We discuss our results in Section 3.

II. MULTI-PARTICLE CORRELATIONS

In this section we discuss multi-particle correlations originating from fluctuating fireball shape in rapidity. At this point it is useful to comment on the experimental way of estimating the integrals over the multi-particle distributions. In the experimental analysis [1], estimates of $\langle a_i a_k \rangle$ are obtained from the integration of the two-particle correlation function. It is challenging to apply this method to the higher order cumulants. However, the standard procedure of summing over n-tuples, e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_i(y_a) T_j(y_b) T_k(y_c) T_l(y_d) \rangle &\equiv \int_{-1}^1 dy_1 dy_2 dy_3 dy_4 \frac{\rho_4(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) T_i(y_1) T_j(y_2) T_k(y_3) T_l(y_4)}{\rho(y_1) \rho(y_2) \rho(y_3) \rho(y_4)} \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{a,b,c,d} ' \frac{T_i(y_a)}{\rho(y_a)} \frac{T_j(y_b)}{\rho(y_b)} \frac{T_k(y_c)}{\rho(y_c)} \frac{T_l(y_d)}{\rho(y_d)} \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

can be applied for samples with sufficient statistics [22]. $\rho_4(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$ is the measured four-particle rapidity density. In the last line of the above expression the sum runs over four different particles in a given event and the average is over all events.³

A. Three-particle correlations

The three-particle distribution at a given a_0, a_1, \dots is

$$\rho_3(y_1, y_2, y_3; a_0, a_1, \dots) = \rho(y_1; a_0, a_1, \dots) \rho(y_2; a_0, a_1, \dots) \rho(y_3; a_0, a_1, \dots) + \text{short range}. \quad (2.2)$$

Expanding on the orthogonal basis and taking an average over a_i we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho_3(y_1, y_2, y_3)}{\rho(y_1) \rho(y_2) \rho(y_3)} &= 1 + \sum_{i,k=0} (\langle a_i a_k \rangle + b_{ik}) [T_i(y_1) T_k(y_2) + T_i(y_1) T_k(y_3) + T_i(y_2) T_k(y_3)] + \\ &\quad \sum_{i,k,m=0} (\langle a_i a_k a_m \rangle + b_{ikm}) T_i(y_1) T_k(y_2) T_m(y_3), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where b_{ik} and b_{ikm} represent the unwanted short-range background (not related to fluctuations of the fireball longitudinal structure). We are interested in extracting information

² In Ref. [3] we expanded the distribution (1.1) in the Chebyshev polynomials but other choices are certainly possible.

³ By definition $\langle T_i(y_a) \rangle = \int dy T_i(y) \sim \delta_{i,0}$.

about the genuine three-particle correlations⁴, $C_3(y_1, y_2, y_3)$, defined as

$$\rho_3(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \rho(y_1)\rho(y_2)\rho(y_3) + \rho(y_1)C_2(y_2, y_3) + \rho(y_2)C_2(y_1, y_3) + \rho(y_3)C_2(y_1, y_2) + C_3(y_1, y_2, y_3), \quad (2.4)$$

where $\rho_3(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ is the three-particle rapidity density. If genuine three-particle short-range correlations can be neglected, $b_{ikm} = 0$, performing simple calculations we obtain

$$C_3(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \rho(y_1)\rho(y_2)\rho(y_3) \left[\sum_{i,k,m=0} \langle a_i a_k a_m \rangle T_i(y_1) T_k(y_2) T_m(y_3) \right]. \quad (2.5)$$

Equation (2.5) allows to directly extract $\langle a_i a_k a_m \rangle$ without the contribution from the two-particle short-range correlations b_{ik}

$$\langle a_i a_k a_m \rangle_{[3]} = \int dy_1 dy_2 dy_3 \frac{C_3(y_1, y_2, y_3) T_i(y_1) T_k(y_2) T_m(y_3)}{\rho(y_1)\rho(y_2)\rho(y_3)}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle_{[3]}$ denotes⁵ that $\langle a_i a_k a_m \rangle$ is sensitive to C_3 but it does not depend on the lower order correlation function C_2 .

Using Eq. (2.4) we can relate $\langle a_i a_k a_m \rangle_{[3]}$ through integrals of the two- and three-particle densities ρ_n and finally through sums over n-tuples, see Eq. (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_i a_k a_m \rangle_{[3]} &= \langle T_i(y_a) T_k(y_b) T_m(y_c) \rangle - \langle T_i(y_a) \rangle \langle T_k(y_a) T_m(y_b) \rangle - \langle T_k(y_a) \rangle \langle T_i(y_a) T_m(y_b) \rangle - \\ &\quad \langle T_m(y_a) \rangle \langle T_i(y_a) T_k(y_b) \rangle + 2 \langle T_i(y_a) \rangle \langle T_k(y_a) \rangle \langle T_m(y_a) \rangle \\ &\equiv \langle T_i(y_a) T_k(y_b) T_m(y_c) \rangle_{[3]}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Perhaps C_3 is not particularly useful because $\langle a_i^3 \rangle$ is expected to be rather small if not zero (by definition $\langle a_i \rangle = 0$). Specific effects of correlations resulting from bias of event multiplicity on rapidity distribution, e.g., stronger longitudinal expansion in events with higher fireball density, can be tested using mixed correlations

$$\langle a_0 a_k^2 \rangle_{[3]} = \langle T_0(y_a) T_k(y_b) T_k(y_c) \rangle - \langle T_0(y_a) \rangle \langle T_k(y_a) T_k(y_b) \rangle, \quad (2.8)$$

where $\langle T_0(y_a) \rangle = \sqrt{2}$ for the chosen normalization and $k > 0$.

B. Four-particle correlation

Here we discuss the more interesting case of four-particle correlation function. Performing analogous calculations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho_4(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)}{\rho(y_1)\rho(y_2)\rho(y_3)\rho(y_4)} &= 1 + \sum_{i,k=0} \langle a_i a_k \rangle [T_i(y_1) T_k(y_2) + T_i(y_1) T_k(y_3) + T_i(y_1) T_k(y_4) + \\ &\quad T_i(y_2) T_k(y_3) + T_i(y_2) T_k(y_4) + T_i(y_3) T_k(y_4)] + \\ &\quad \sum_{i,k,m=0} \langle a_i a_k a_m \rangle [T_i(y_1) T_k(y_2) T_m(y_3) + T_i(y_1) T_k(y_2) T_m(y_4) + \\ &\quad T_i(y_1) T_k(y_3) T_m(y_4) + T_i(y_2) T_k(y_3) T_m(y_4)] + \\ &\quad \sum_{i,k,m,n=0} \langle a_i a_k a_m a_n \rangle T_i(y_1) T_k(y_2) T_m(y_3) T_n(y_4). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

⁴ In general, the genuine n -particle correlation function $C_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is non-zero only if there is a physical mechanism directly correlating n or more particles.

⁵ For C_3 we have $\langle a_i a_k a_m \rangle_{[3]} = \langle a_i a_k a_m \rangle$, which is not the case for higher order correlation functions.

For simplicity we omit the short-range background correlations b_{ik} , b_{ikm} and b_{ikmn} . We are interested in the genuine four-particle correlation function, $C_4(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$, defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_4(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = & \rho(y_1)\rho(y_2)\rho(y_3)\rho(y_4) + \rho(y_1)\rho(y_2)C_2(y_3, y_4) + \rho(y_1)\rho(y_3)C_2(y_2, y_4) + \\ & \rho(y_1)\rho(y_4)C_2(y_2, y_3) + \rho(y_2)\rho(y_3)C_2(y_1, y_4) + \rho(y_2)\rho(y_4)C_2(y_1, y_3) + \\ & \rho(y_3)\rho(y_4)C_2(y_1, y_2) + \rho(y_1)C_3(y_2, y_3, y_4) + \rho(y_2)C_3(y_1, y_3, y_4) + \\ & \rho(y_3)C_3(y_1, y_2, y_4) + \rho(y_4)C_3(y_1, y_2, y_3) + C_2(y_1, y_2)C_2(y_3, y_4) + \\ & C_2(y_1, y_3)C_2(y_2, y_4) + C_2(y_1, y_4)C_2(y_2, y_3) + C_4(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Performing straightforward calculations we obtain

$$\frac{C_4(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)}{\rho(y_1)\rho(y_2)\rho(y_3)\rho(y_4)} = \sum_{i,k,m,n=0} \langle a_i a_k a_m a_n \rangle_{[4]} T_i(y_1) T_k(y_2) T_m(y_3) T_n(y_4), \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\langle a_i a_k a_m a_n \rangle_{[4]} \equiv \langle a_i a_k a_m a_n \rangle - \langle a_i a_k \rangle \langle a_m a_n \rangle - \langle a_i a_m \rangle \langle a_k a_n \rangle - \langle a_i a_n \rangle \langle a_k a_m \rangle. \quad (2.12)$$

with $\langle \dots \rangle_{[4]}$ denoting that the object depends on C_4 but not on the lower order correlations.

At this stage we are mostly interested in extracting the leading terms, $i = k = m = n$ (and in particular the asymmetric term a_1)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_i^4 \rangle_{[4]} & \equiv \langle a_i^4 \rangle - 3\langle a_i^2 \rangle^2 \\ & = \int dy_1 dy_2 dy_3 dy_4 \frac{C_4(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) T_i(y_1) T_i(y_2) T_i(y_3) T_i(y_4)}{\rho(y_1)\rho(y_2)\rho(y_3)\rho(y_4)} \\ & = \langle T_i(y_a) T_i(y_b) T_i(y_c) T_i(y_d) \rangle - 3\langle T_i(y_a) T_i(y_b) \rangle^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where in the last line of the above equation ($i > 0$) we show the way to calculate the cumulant, see Eq. (2.1).

Another interesting term in the expansion (2.11) is the mixed term $\langle a_0^2 a_k^2 \rangle$ for $k > 0$. The expression for such a cumulant reads

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_0^2 a_k^2 \rangle_{[4]} & \equiv \langle a_0^2 a_k^2 \rangle - \langle a_0^2 \rangle \langle a_k^2 \rangle - 2\langle a_0 a_k \rangle^2 \\ & = \langle T_0(y_a) T_0(y_b) T_k(y_c) T_k(y_d) \rangle - \langle T_0(y_a) T_0(y_b) \rangle \langle T_k(y_c) T_k(y_d) \rangle - \\ & \quad 2\langle T_0(y_a) T_k(y_b) \rangle^2 - 2\langle T_0(y_a) \rangle \langle T_0(y_a) T_k(y_b) T_k(y_c) \rangle + \\ & \quad 2\langle T_0(y_a) \rangle^2 \langle T_k(y_a) T_k(y_b) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

This expression removes two and three-particle correlations and correctly takes into account that $\langle T_0(y_a) \rangle \neq 0$. In particular $\langle a_0^2 a_k^2 \rangle_{[4]}$ could be a measure of the genuine correlations between event multiplicity and the width of the particle distribution in rapidity.

C. Five-particle correlation

For the genuine five-particle correlation function, $C_5(y_1, \dots, y_5)$, defined as⁶

$$\rho_5 = \rho\rho\rho\rho\rho + \underbrace{\rho C_4}_5 + \underbrace{\rho\rho C_3}_{10} + \underbrace{\rho\rho\rho C_2}_{10} + \underbrace{\rho C_2 C_2}_{15} + \underbrace{C_2 C_3}_{10} + C_5, \quad (2.15)$$

⁶ For clarity we skip the argument y_i and show only the numbers of possible combinations.

we obtain

$$\frac{C_5(y_1, \dots, y_5)}{\rho(y_1) \dots \rho(y_5)} = \sum_{i,k,m,n,r=0} \langle a_i a_k a_m a_n a_r \rangle_{[5]} T_i(y_1) T_k(y_2) T_m(y_3) T_n(y_4) T_r(y_5), \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$\langle a_i^5 \rangle_{[5]} \equiv \langle a_i a_k a_m a_n a_r \rangle - \underbrace{[\langle a_i a_k \rangle \langle a_m a_n a_r \rangle + \dots]}_{10 \text{ variations}}. \quad (2.17)$$

The leading term is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_i^5 \rangle_{[5]} &\equiv \langle a_i^5 \rangle - 10 \langle a_i^2 \rangle \langle a_i^3 \rangle \\ &= \langle T_i(y_a) T_i(y_b) T_i(y_c) T_i(y_d) T_i(y_e) \rangle - 10 \langle T_i(y_a) T_i(y_b) \rangle \langle T_i(y_a) T_i(y_b) T_i(y_c) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where in the second line of the above equation we assume $i > 0$.

D. Six-particle correlation

Finally, for the six-particle correlation function, $C_6(y_1, \dots, y_6)$, defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_6 &= \rho \rho \rho \rho \rho \rho + \underbrace{\rho C_5}_6 + \underbrace{\rho \rho C_4}_{15} + \underbrace{\rho \rho \rho C_3}_{20} + \underbrace{\rho \rho \rho \rho C_2}_{15} + \underbrace{\rho C_2 C_3}_{60} + \underbrace{\rho \rho C_2 C_2}_{45} + \underbrace{C_2 C_4}_{15} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{C_3 C_3}_{10} + \underbrace{C_2 C_2 C_2}_{15} + C_6, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

we obtain

$$\frac{C_6(y_1, \dots, y_6)}{\rho(y_1) \dots \rho(y_6)} = \sum_{i,k,m,n,r,s=0} \langle a_i a_k a_m a_n a_r a_s \rangle_{[6]} T_i(y_1) T_k(y_2) T_m(y_3) T_n(y_4) T_r(y_5) T_s(y_6), \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_i a_k a_m a_n a_r a_s \rangle_{[6]} &\equiv \langle a_i a_k a_m a_n a_r a_s \rangle - \underbrace{[\langle a_i a_k \rangle \langle a_m a_n a_r a_s \rangle + \dots]}_{15 \text{ variations}} - \\ &\quad \underbrace{[\langle a_i a_k a_m \rangle \langle a_n a_r a_s \rangle + \dots]}_{10 \text{ variations}} + 2 \underbrace{[\langle a_i a_k \rangle \langle a_m a_n \rangle \langle a_r a_s \rangle + \dots]}_{15 \text{ variations}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

The leading term is

$$\langle a_i^6 \rangle_{[6]} \equiv \langle a_i^6 \rangle - 15 \langle a_i^2 \rangle \langle a_i^4 \rangle - 10 \langle a_i^3 \rangle^2 + 30 \langle a_i^2 \rangle^3. \quad (2.22)$$

III. COMMENTS AND CONCLUSIONS

We propose to measure higher order cumulants of event-by-event fluctuations of rapidity distribution. The multi-particle rapidity distributions $\rho_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ can be expanded in the basis of orthogonal polynomials $T_{i_1}(y_1) \dots T_{i_n}(y_n)$ with coefficients $\langle a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_n} \rangle$. The coefficients have contributions from the rapidity shape fluctuations of the distribution function,

that we are after, and from the short-range correlations. Calculating higher cumulants of such averages reduces the contribution from short-range correlations. For example, in the fourth order cumulant $\langle T_i(y_a)T_j(y_b)T_k(y_c)T_l(y_d) \rangle_{[4]}$ the contribution from two- and three-particle short-range correlations is removed and, if the fourth-order short-range correlations are neglected, it can be directly compared to the fourth cumulant of the expansion coefficients $\langle a_i a_j a_k a_l \rangle_{[4]}$.

The extracted value of the higher cumulants $\langle a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_n} \rangle_{[n]}$ can be compared to predictions of models of event-by-event fluctuations of rapidity distributions (1.1). The cumulant of the coefficients a_i can be calculated in models once their event-by-event distribution is known. The proposed method to study the cumulants of the expansion coefficients does not rely on the precise model assumptions for fluctuations of rapidity distributions. It remains a subject of further studies to calculate all significant four or six order cumulants, in different models of energy deposition in hadronic collisions. The direct calculation of higher order cumulants from experiment or Monte Carlo events requires a very larger statistics. The limited statistics available has prevented us from applying the method to realistic hydrodynamic events for Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC.

Finally, we would like to emphasize that our method is applicable not only to symmetric A+A collisions but also to asymmetric interactions including p+A. It would be also interesting to perform measurement in p+p collisions, where the internal quark (diquark [23]) structure of a proton should results in, e.g., nonzero asymmetric term $\langle a_1^n \rangle_{[n]}$, $n = 2, 4, 6$.

Acknowledgments:

Research supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education (MNiSW), by founding from the Foundation for Polish Science, and by the National Science Centre (Narodowe Centrum Nauki), Grant Nos. DEC-2014/15/B/ST2/00175, DEC-2012/05/B/ST2/02528, and in part by DEC-2013/09/B/ST2/00497.

-
- [1] The ATLAS collaboration [ATLAS Collaboration], ATLAS-CONF-2015-020; <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2029370>
 - [2] A. Bialas, A. Bzdak and K. Zalewski, Phys. Lett. B **710**, 332 (2012) [arXiv:1107.1215 [hep-ph]].
 - [3] A. Bzdak and D. Teaney, Phys. Rev. C **87**, no. 2, 024906 (2013) [arXiv:1210.1965 [nucl-th]].
 - [4] P. Bozek, W. Broniowski and J. Moreira, Phys. Rev. C **83**, 034911 (2011) [arXiv:1011.3354 [nucl-th]].
 - [5] P. Bozek, W. Broniowski and A. Olszewski, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 054912 (2015) [arXiv:1503.07425 [nucl-th]].
 - [6] A. Bialas and K. Zalewski, Nucl. Phys. A **860**, 56 (2011) [arXiv:1101.1907 [hep-ph]].
 - [7] A. Olszewski and W. Broniowski, Phys. Rev. C **92**, no. 2, 024913 (2015) [arXiv:1502.05215 [nucl-th]].

- [8] Y. Cheng, Y. -L. Yan, D. -M. Zhou, X. Cai, B. -H. Sa and L. P. Csernai, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 034911 (2011) [arXiv:1106.3371 [hep-ph]].
- [9] L. P. Csernai, G. Eyyubova and V. K. Magas, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 024912 (2012) [arXiv:1204.5885 [hep-ph]].
- [10] L. Pang, Q. Wang and X. -N. Wang, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 024911 (2012) [arXiv:1205.5019 [nucl-th]].
- [11] V. Vovchenko, D. Anchishkin and L. P. Csernai, Phys. Rev. C **88**, no. 1, 014901 (2013) [arXiv:1306.5208 [nucl-th]].
- [12] J. Jia and P. Huo, Phys. Rev. C **90**, no. 3, 034915 (2014) [arXiv:1403.6077 [nucl-th]].
- [13] L. G. Pang, G. Y. Qin, V. Roy, X. N. Wang and G. L. Ma, Phys. Rev. C **91**, no. 4, 044904 (2015) [arXiv:1410.8690 [nucl-th]].
- [14] S. A. Voloshin, A. M. Poskanzer and R. Snellings, arXiv:0809.2949 [nucl-ex].
- [15] B. Alver and G. Roland, Phys. Rev. C **81**, 054905 (2010) [Erratum-ibid. C **82**, 039903 (2010)] [arXiv:1003.0194 [nucl-th]].
- [16] A. Bialas, M. Bleszynski and W. Czyz, Nucl. Phys. B **111**, 461 (1976).
- [17] A. Bialas and W. Czyz, Acta Phys. Polon. B **36**, 905 (2005) [hep-ph/0410265].
- [18] N. Borghini, P. M. Dinh and J. Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. C **63**, 054906 (2001) [nucl-th/0007063].
- [19] N. Borghini, P. M. Dinh and J. Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. C **64**, 054901 (2001) [nucl-th/0105040].
- [20] V. Khachatryan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, no. 1, 012301 (2015) [arXiv:1502.05382 [nucl-ex]].
- [21] J. Jia, S. Radhakrishnan and M. Zhou, arXiv:1506.03496 [nucl-th].
- [22] A. Bilandzic, R. Snellings and S. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. C **83**, 044913 (2011) [arXiv:1010.0233 [nucl-ex]].
- [23] A. Bialas and A. Bzdak, Phys. Rev. C **77**, 034908 (2008) [arXiv:0707.3720 [hep-ph]]; Phys. Lett. B **649**, 263 (2007) [nucl-th/0611021].